RESET FORM

Long Range Broad Agency Announcement (BAA) Evaluation Form and 10 U.S.C. § 2358 Decision Memorandum (As Applicable)

BAA Number: N00014-20-S-B001

ONR Technology Area: STEM

Required for Successful and Unsuccessful Proposals

Vendor: Pennsylvan a State University

Grant Proposal #: Grant13189564

Check appropriate rating based on the following three criteria. Please note that comments of substance are requested. They will be used to substantiate decisions.

The primary basis for selecting proposals for acceptance will be (1) technical, (2) importance to agency programs, and (3) fund availability. Gost realism and reasonableness will also be considered when selecting proposals.

The evaluation criteria 1, 2, and 3 are equally important.

- Overall scientific and technical merits of the proposal and responsiveness to the topic, i.e., the degree of
 innovation, soundness of technical concept, Offeror's awareness of the state of the art and understanding of
 the scope of the problem, significance and originality of the technical approach and effort needed to
 address/solve the problem, and anticipated scientific impact within the field. The following areas will also be
 considered:
 - a. The Offeror's capabilities, related experience, facilities, techniques or unique combinations of these which are integral factors for achieving the proposal objectives, and
 - b. the qualifications, capabilities and experience of the proposed Principal Investigator (PI), team leader and key personnel who are critical to achieving the proposal objectives.

Comments: The PI is very well qualified both technically and program to colly and has extensive experience in STEM program in increasing feturale and minerity engineering students.

2. Potential Naval relevance (i.e. interest) and contribution to the ONR and Department of Navy mission.

Comments: Very relevent 24 the proposal seeks to increase Penn Hate student and faculty participation in Navy Steph Programs

| YES NO | 0 | |
|---|---|-----|
| Comments: | | (3) |
| | | |
| Recommend selection of proposal: | | |
| Yes - My signature below indicates that my review is within my area of responsibility at the ONR. | No. provide explanation. Duplication of effort; already funded. No longer investing in this topic. Lack of acceptable performance on prior efforts. Return on Investment (ROI) is not competitive | İ |
| Ĩ | compared to other proposals. Other. Explain: | 4 |
| 9 | | |

3. The availability of funds.

Printed Name KNOX MILLSAPC

IF PROPOSAL IS SELECTED COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PROGRAM OFFICE GRANT PROPOSAL CHECKLIST

Signature (Digital S

Date 13 0 d 2020